

## Steve Golden

---

**From:** Jon Baer [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 31, 2021 1:11 PM  
**To:** Planning Services; Los Altos Planning Commission  
**Subject:** agenda item # 2 packard foundation

I do not support the project as proposed. While I do appreciate the applicant's desire to add solar panels and change the traffic flow, it should NOT be at the expense of the trees on site. Removing trees and planting 27 elsewhere, while politically correct, does not mitigate the removal of trees from that portion of the town.

Of course the elephant in the room is why the applicant wants to add 28 more spaces (and the resulting asphalt) when it, at least in theory, doesn't need those spots. Just as a reminder the Foundation refused to build an underground parking garage at the time of the initial construction as it deemed it was bad for the environment. How is adding more asphalt and removing trees and greenery from that portion of town any better?